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1. INTRODUCTION

Context: The HIVE Project

This report has been carried out in the context of the project HIVE (Helping Interdisciplinary Vocabularies Engineering), conducted by the Metadata Research Center of the School of Library and Information Systems, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and founded by the Institute of Museum and Library Services (ILMS).

The project aims to help the development of a controlled vocabulary for the Dryad repository. This repository hosts the data underlying published works in evolutionary biology. This is a highly interdisciplinary field drawing from a wide range of scientific disciplines, including ecology, developmental biology, genetics, molecular biology, paleontology, and systematic. A preliminary study that extracted the subjects and keywords of the resources in the repository and tried to map them to several controlled vocabularies indicated that a single controlled vocabulary cannot represent the interdisciplinary of the evolutionary biology.

To address these needs, and noted controlled vocabularies limitations, the HIVE (Helping Interdisciplinary Vocabulary Engineering) has been proposed, aiming to develop an automatic metadata generation approach that dynamically integrates discipline specific controlled vocabularies and assists content creators (or professionals) with subject cataloging. The Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS) has been selected to assist the integration of vocabularies in this approach.

Purpose

In order to help the researchers of the HIVE project in the application of SKOS for the purposes of the project, some literature and web resources review has been carried out. This review has focused in the compilation of selected papers, presentations and other documents related to SKOS and its development and the initiatives that have adopted this specification.

Moreover, the various projects, tools and SKOS data described and mentioned in these resources have been gathered and categorized. This task has enabled the identification of the achievements already made by others initiatives with similar particular needs that those addressed by the Dryad repository in relation with semantic interoperability, and thus will help in the achievement of the HIVE project objectives.
Content and sections

The next five sections of the present document summarize the work done in this SKOS literature review and information gathering.

Firstly, we present a brief introduction to the SKOS initiative, focusing in its purpose, status and the intellectual responsibility of its development. It includes a relation of the main information sources available on line about SKOS, as well as the normative and supportive documents, like the specification, guides, recommendations and others. Furthermore, the basics of the SKOS model, extracted from the SKOS Primer document, are presented.

Secondly, the relation and role of SKOS in the achievement of semantic interoperability is analyzed. We reflect about the opportunities and limitations of SKOS in this sense.

The third section offers a selection of literature about SKOS and its applications. The papers selected are presented in two tables:

- The first table contains the main papers about the SKOS model, distinguishing between those papers merely introducing or presenting the model and those that reflect about the foundations of the model or about its development and future improvement.
- The second table contains more than 20 papers related to SKOS implementations at various levels and from different points of view. In this way, we have categorized the papers in 3 groups: papers about the representation and conversion of vocabularies to the SKOS model; papers about the alignment of vocabularies or concept schemes by the means of SKOS; and papers about the implementation or development of applications for the indexing and retrieval of resources with vocabularies in SKOS.

The fourth section draws a panorama of the several initiatives related to SKOS in different aspects, some of them presented in the papers mentioned in the previous section and others already collected in the SKOS official webpage and development wiki. These initiatives have been synthesized in four areas, namely: a list of specific projects and particular implementations of SKOS; a list of tools and applications compliant with the SKOS model, classified in terms of the task that they support in the vocabulary workflow; a compilation of SKOS data in the sense of vocabularies expressed in the SKOS model and openly available online; and finally, a selection of the main organizations, research institutions, groups or individuals that contribute to (or interested in) the development of SKOS, consolidating and widening its scope.

Finally, the fifth section presents a selection of those initiatives, tools, data and other achievements that could help the HIVE project researchers to accomplish the objectives and tasks necessaries for the building of HIVE, mainly for the vocabulary preparation and the vocabulary server development phases.

The list of bibliographic references of the papers mentioned in section 4 and other complementary bibliography is included at the end of the report.
Contributors

This report has been carried out by Gema Bueno de la Fuente from the University Carlos III of Madrid (Spain) as a visiting scholar in the Metadata Research Center, School of Information and Library Science of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, during her research stay in the Fall of 2008.

The report has been reviewed by Jane Greenberg, Francis Carroll McColl Term Professor, Director of the SILS Metadata Research Center and Principal Investigator of the HIVE project. Sarah Carrier? Hollie White?
2. SKOS (Simple Knowledge Organization Systems)

This section serves as a simple introduction to the SKOS initiative, briefly explaining its purpose, status and intellectual responsibility of its development.

It also presents the main sources of information available on line about SKOS, synthesizing the content provided by them, and specially referring to the SKOS normative and informative documents (specification, guides, recommendations and others).

Finally, the basics of the SKOS model, extracted from the SKOS Primer document, are presented in order to enable the understanding of the concepts mentioned in the following sections.

2.1. What is SKOS

SKOS is an area of work developing specifications and standards to support the use of knowledge organisation systems (KOS) such as thesauri, classification schemes, subject heading systems and taxonomies within the framework of the Semantic Web.

SKOS provides a standard way to represent knowledge organisation systems using the Resource Description Framework (RDF). Encoding this information in RDF allows it to be passed between computer applications in an interoperable way.

The main concepts and components of the SKOS model, as well as its uses and benefits, are explained in the section 2.5 of this report.

2.2. Who develops and contributes to SKOS

SKOS is an open collaboration initiated by the Semantic Web Advanced Development for Europe project (SWAD-Europe), funded by the EU-IST 5th framework program and initiated in 2003. SWAD-E aimed to develop specifications and standards to support the use of knowledge organisation systems (KOS) on the semantic web.

Since 2004, the development of SKOS continued within the W3C’s Semantic Web Best Practices and Deployment Working Group (SWBPD-WG), now named the Semantic Web Deployment Working Group (SWDWG).

The development and design of SKOS is an open and collaborative task, enriched with the contribution of users (for example, with the submission of use cases requirements) and with the continuous discussion in the mailing list (public-esw-thes@w3.org).
2.3. Information sources about SKOS

There are two main sources of information available on line about SKOS, both in the framework of the W3C website: the SKOS official webpage and the SKOSDev Wiki.

SKOS Website - [http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/](http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/)

SKOS official webpage is integrated in the Semantic Web Activity, within the W3C website.

The main sections with useful content are:

1. **Introduction** (brief explanation of SKOS, its relation with RDF, who develops it, status and how to contribute).
2. **Development & Participation** (some explanation of the SWDWG as the SKOS developer and the open participation by the mailing list).
3. **Specifications & Documentation** (at a Last Call Stage status: SKOS Reference; and working drafts status: SKOS Primer, SKOS Use cases & requirements, SKOS Core Guide, SKOS Core Vocabulary Specification).
4. **RDF Vocabularies** (current SKOS Vocabulary and SKOS eXtension for Labels (XL) Vocabulary, and the deprecated vocabularies).
5. **Use Cases, & Requirements** (the SDWDG sent a questionnaire to a wide audience, to gather representative use cases; the derived requirements have influenced the development of SKOS).
6. **Tutorials, Presentations & Papers** (<20 docs, mainly by the members of the SDWDG);
7. **Validation Service (alpha)**: online tool to validate the RDF document of an SKOS vocabulary, in its 3 different syntaxes (RDF/XML, Notation3/Turtle, N-triples).
8. **Translations**: labels, comments and definitions for the classes and properties of the SKOS Core Vocabulary are available in RDF in English, French, Dutch, German, Portuguese, Italian and Chinese). Also some documentation is in several languages.
9. **Version history** (track of specifications, documents, guides, namespaces, RDFs, since 2003).

The rest of the sections in the SKOS official webpage forward to the SKOSDev area of the ESW Wiki ([http://esw.w3.org/topic/FrontPage](http://esw.w3.org/topic/FrontPage)).

ESW Wiki - SKOSDev - [http://esw.w3.org/topic/SkosDev](http://esw.w3.org/topic/SkosDev)

The other main source of information is the SKOSDev a wiki area in the ESW Wiki for collaborative work on SKOS schemas and APIs, supporting documentation and resources.

The most part of this wiki is still in construction. Some of the more valuable sections are the following:

- **DataZone**: community page for links to SKOS-RDF data. It offers almost 20 links in about 12 data initiatives, including blogs and thesaurus, categories, glossaries or terminologies in
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SKOS. [It is incomplete; see section 5.3. in this document for more comprehensive SKOS data list].

- **ToolShed**: links to tools for working with SKOS. 12 tools now, including thesaurus authoring tools, conversion tools, indexing and annotation tools, RDF mapping tools, the SKOS validator and other scripts or plugins. [It is incomplete; see section 5.2. in this document for more comprehensive SKOS data list].

- **Mappings**: mapping projects (7) and tools (2) for the integration of different Knowledge Organization Systems like thesauri and classification. Some of them are previous to SKOS and most of them don’t necessarily use the SKOS vocabulary.

- **Relevant Companies and Organizations**: 4 commercial, 4 academic, 3 government & public, 4 Charity and Non-profitable organizations. [For a detailed relation of companies and organizations working with SKOS, see section 5.4].

Other interesting sections in the SKOSDev Wiki, but with scarce information yet are those related to the representation of classifications (\ModelClassifications\) and Authority Files (\AuthorityFiles\) with SKOS, or the issues related to SKOS and OWL-DL (\SkosOwlDL\).

The rest of the SKOSDev Wiki sections are actually more addressed to the SKOS developers and contributors than to the end users.

### 2.4. SKOS Specifications & Documentation

The SKOS Specifications can be found at the SKOS official webpage (http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/specs).

These specifications are currently published as W3C Working Drafts, which means that they are work in progress. Only the SKOS Reference is at the Last Call stage of the W3C Recommendation Track process, since August 2008.

The currently active documents are the followings:

- **SKOS Primer**

- **SKOS Reference**

- **SKOS Use Cases and Requirements**

- **Quick Guide to Publishing a Thesaurus on the Semantic Web**
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The deprecated documents (created in 2005 in the beginning of SKOS) are also available in this section: the SKOS Core Guide, which has been superseded by the SKOS Primer; and the SKOS Core Vocabulary Specification, superseded by the SKOS Reference.

The SKOS Primer is an informative and introductory document to SKOS, a user guide for those who would like to represent their concept scheme using SKOS. It explains the main concepts of SKOS or SKOS essentials, as well as the utility of SKOS in the networking of Knowledge Organization Systems on the Semantic Web. It presents two levels of application of SKOS, basic and advanced, and the option of combining SKOS with other modelling approaches. This document is non normative, and is a companion of the normative document, the SKOS Reference.

The SKOS Reference is the normative specification of the Simple Knowledge Organization System. It is intended for readers who are involved in the design and implementation of information systems, and who already have a good understanding of Semantic Web technology, especially RDF and OWL. Following an Introduction to the SKOS model, including background and motivation, the SKOS Reference defines the components of the model, namely: SKOS Namespace and Vocabulary, skos:Concept class, concept schemes, lexical labels, notations, document (note) properties, semantic relations, concept collections, mapping properties and references.

The SKOS Use Cases and Requirements is a non-normative document that presents the preparatory work for the 2008 version of SKOS. It lists representative use cases, which were obtained after a dedicated questionnaire was sent in 2007 to a wide audience. It also features a set of fundamental or secondary requirements derived from these use cases, which have been used to guide the design of SKOS. Most of the use cases are related to active projects, even if some have not implemented the SKOS model yet. The more interesting ones have been included in the compilation of SKOS related projects presented in section 5.1 of this report.

Finally, the Quick Guide to Publishing a Thesaurus on the Semantic Web is a non-normative document that intends to offer some guidelines to the projects about how to express the content and structure of a thesaurus, and metadata about a thesaurus, in RDF, and using the SKOS vocabulary when possible.

This document is based in a previous and deprecated one, Migrating Thesauri to the Semantic Web. Guidelines and case studies for generating RDF encodings of existing thesauri, which also included three case studies (APAIS Thesaurus, English Heritage Aircraft Type Thesaurus, and GEMET) not included in the current guide.
2.5. SKOS basic notions

SKOS—Simple Knowledge Organization System—provides a model for expressing the basic structure and content of concept schemes such as thesauri, classification schemes, subject heading lists, taxonomies, folksonomies, and other similar types of controlled vocabulary. As an application of the Resource Description Framework (RDF), SKOS allows concepts to be composed and published on the World Wide Web, linked with data on the Web and integrated into other concept schemes.

Two levels of implementation of SKOS can be considered:

- In basic SKOS, conceptual resources (concepts) are identified with URIs, labelled with strings in one or more natural languages, documented with various types of note, semantically related to each other in informal hierarchies and association networks, and aggregated into concept schemes.
- In advanced SKOS, conceptual resources can be mapped across concept schemes and grouped into labeled or ordered collections. Relationships between concept labels can be specified. Finally, the SKOS vocabulary itself can be extended to suit the needs of particular communities of practice or combined with other modeling vocabularies.

SKOS has been designed to provide a low-cost migration path for porting existing organization systems to the Semantic Web. SKOS also provides a lightweight, intuitive conceptual modeling language for developing and sharing new knowledge organization systems (KOSs). It can be used on its own, or in combination with more formal languages like the Web Ontology Language (OWL). SKOS can also be seen as a bridging technology, providing the missing link between the rigorous logical formalism of ontology languages such as OWL and the chaotic, informal and weakly-structured world of Web-based collaboration tools, as exemplified by social tagging applications.

The main components of the SKOS model or SKOS Essentials are: Concepts, Labels, Semantic Relationships, Documentary Notes and Concept Schemes.

The SKOS Reference document summarizes very well the relation of the components of the SKOS Model in the following paragraph:

“Using SKOS, concepts can be identified using URIs, labeled with lexical strings in one or more natural languages, assigned notations (lexical codes), documented with various types of note, linked to other concepts and organized into informal hierarchies and association networks, aggregated into concept schemes, grouped into labeled and/or ordered collections, and mapped to concepts in other schemes”.

Below we present some extracts of the SKOS Primer document explaining briefly each statement highlighted in the synopsis paragraph.
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Concepts

Fundamental element of the SKOS vocabulary. Concepts are the units of thought—ideas, meanings, or (categories of) objects and events—which underlie many knowledge organization systems [SKOS-UCR]. As such, concepts exist in the mind as abstract entities which are independent of the terms used to label them. The class skos:Concept allows implementors to assert that a given resource is a concept.

Labels

Expressions that are used to refer to the concepts in natural language.

SKOS provides three properties to attach labels to conceptual resources: skos:prefLabel, skos:altLabel and skos:hiddenLabel. Each property implies a specific status for the label it introduces, ranging from a strong, univocal denotation relationship, to a string to aid in lookup. These properties are formally defined as being pairwise disjoint. This means, for example, that it is an error if a concept has the same literal both as its preferred label and as an alternative label.

Semantic Relationships

In KOSs semantic relations play a crucial role for defining concepts. The meaning of a concept is defined not just by the natural-language words in its labels but also by its links to other concepts in the vocabulary. Mirroring the fundamental categories of relations that are used in vocabularies such as thesauri [ISO2788], SKOS supplies three standard properties:

- **skos:broader** and **skos:narrower** enable the representation of hierarchical links, such as the relationship between one genre and its more specific species, or, depending on interpretations, the relationship between one whole and its parts;
  - Notes: Implicit statements // Transitive vs. Non-transitive

- **skos:related** enables the representation of associative (non-hierarchical) links, such as the relationship between one type of event and a category of entities which typically participate in it. Another use for skos:related is between two categories where neither is more general or more specific. Note that skos:related enables the representation of associative (non-hierarchical) links, which can also be used to represent part-whole links that are not meant as hierarchical relationships.
  - Note—(non-)transitivity of; mixing hierarchy with association

Documentary Notes

Next to the semantic relationships structured characterizations, concepts sometimes have to be further defined using human-readable ("informal") documentation, such as scope notes or definitions. SKOS provides a skos:note property for general documentation purposes, which is
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further specialized into skos:scopeNote, skos:definition, skos:example, and skos:historyNote to fit more specific types of documentation.

- **skos:scopeNote** supplies some, possibly partial, information about the intended meaning of a concept, especially as an indication of how the use of a concept is limited in indexing practice.
- **skos:definition** supplies a complete explanation of the intended meaning of a concept.
- **skos:example** supplies an example of the use of a concept.
- **skos:historyNote** describes significant changes to the meaning or the form of a concept.

In addition to these notes that are intended for users of a concept scheme, SKOS includes two specializations of skos:note that are useful for KOS managers or editors: skos:editorialNote and skos:changeNote.

- **skos:editorialNote** supplies information that is an aid to administrative housekeeping, such as reminders of editorial work still to be done, or warnings in the event that future editorial changes might be made:
- **skos:changeNote** documents fine-grained changes to a concept, for the purposes of administration and maintenance

**Concept Schemes**

Concepts can be created and used as stand-alone entities. However, especially in indexing practice, concepts usually come in carefully compiled vocabularies, such as thesauri or classification schemes. SKOS offers the means of representing such KOSs using the skos:ConceptScheme class.

Define a concept scheme resource (representing a thesaurus) and to describe that resource using the dc:title and dc:creator properties from Dublin Core [DC]. Once the concept scheme resource has been created, it can be linked to the concepts it contains using the skos:inScheme property. Finally, for providing an efficient access to the entry points of broader/narrower concept hierarchies, SKOS defines a skos:hasTopConcept property. This property allows you to link a concept scheme to the (possibly many) most general concepts it contains

**Collections of concepts**

SKOS allows the definition of meaningful groupings or "collections" of concepts. In thesaurus terminology these collections are known as "arrays", and the term that groups the terms in the collection is a "node label".

To correctly model such concept collection structures, SKOS introduces a skos:Collection class. Instances of this class group specific concepts by means of the skos:member property.
Mapping concept schemes

SKOS provides several properties that map concepts between different concept schemes. This can be done by asserting that two concepts have a similar meaning, using the `skos:exactMatch` and `skos:closeMatch` properties. Two concepts from different concept schemes can also be mapped using properties that parallel the semantic relations introduced in Section 2.3: `skos:broadMatch`, `skos:narrowMatch` and `skos:relatedMatch`.

2.6. Usefulness of SKOS

Jointly with the SKOS basic description and notions, the SKOS Primer approaches directly or indirectly the main usefulness of the SKOS model. The scope of the usefulness of SKOS will depend in the level of implementation (basic or advanced) and the specific features applied. The main uses are summarized as follows:

- **Vocabulary representation and publishing**

  Obviously, the main feature of SKOS is the provision of a model for expressing the basic structure and content of concept schemes such as thesauri, classification schemes, subject heading lists, taxonomies, folksonomies, and other similar types of controlled vocabulary.

  In this sense, SKOS enables the migration of already existing controlled vocabularies and the creation of new ones, using a common and standardized representation model. As an application of the Resource Description Framework (RDF), SKOS allows the publication of vocabularies on the World Wide Web.

- **Subject Indexing and Retrieval**

  SKOS can be used for the indexing of resources in an information system, creating links between concepts in a KOS and resources, annotating documents with conceptual units which define their subject. While the SKOS vocabulary itself does not include a mechanism for associating an arbitrary resource with a concept in SKOS, implementors can turn to other vocabularies, linking the subject metadata elements in their scheme (like `dc:subject` in Dublin Core) with an `skos:Concept`.

  The subject indexing facilitates in last instance the Retrieval of resources in an information system through subject queries and subject browsing. Moreover, in the case of several information systems using the same vocabulary, SKOS allows a common way of vocabulary representation, thus, a common mechanism of subject indexing and retrieval. In this way, a federated search of these systems, using controlled and structured vocabularies, can be easily implemented.
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- **Vocabulary alignment**

SKOS allows the interlinking of concept schemes by the means of concept mapping. This can be done by asserting that two concepts in different concept schemes have a similar meaning [using the *skos:exactMatch* and *skos:closeMatch* properties], or establishing semantic relationships between concepts [*skos:broadMatch*, *skos:narrowMatch* and *skos:relatedMatch*].

This feature can be useful in the networking of Knowledge Organization Systems. As concepts from different concept schemes are connected together they begin to form a distributed, heterogeneous global concept scheme.

Also, taken into account the subject indexing and retrieval capabilities of SKOS, it will help in the retrieval of resources both in a unique system using multiple vocabularies and enabling a federated search through several systems using distinct vocabularies.
3. SEMANTIC INTEROPERABILITY & SKOS

Definitions and dimensions of interoperability

There currently exist multiple definitions of interoperability, but the most cited one is the definition given by the IEEE in 1990: “the ability of two or more networks, systems, devices, applications, or components to exchange information between them and to use the information so exchanged”.

Another interesting definition is suggested by the Interoperability Technical Framework (ITF) of the Australian Government, which defines the interoperability in the context of Information Technologies and organizations, as “the ability to transfer and use information in a uniform and efficient manner across multiple organizations and information technology systems. It underpins the level of benefits accruing to enterprises, government and the wider economy through e-commerce” [AGIMO 2005].

In the same line, the European Interoperability Framework for Pan-European eGovernment Services [IDABC 2004], reference document which provides recommendations and defines generic standards with regard to organizational, semantic and technical aspects of interoperability for eGovernment in Europe, published by the European program IDABC (Interoperable Delivery of European eGovernment Services to public Administrations, Businesses and Citizens) as follows: “Interoperability means the ability of information and communication technology (ICT) systems and of the business processes they support to exchange data and to enable the sharing of information and knowledge”.

But in order to achieve the use and understanding of the information exchanged as implied in the previous definitions, needs specific interoperability mechanisms. As many authors and initiatives have already considered, the interoperability has many different dimensions, being the most commonly referred the technical, semantic, and organizational.

The semantic aspect of interoperability, as the IDABC states “is concerned with ensuring that the precise meaning of exchanged information is understandable by any other application that was not initially developed for this purpose. Semantic interoperability enables systems to combine received information with other information resources and to process it in a meaningful manner”.

Therefore, the semantic interoperability is the main aspect that facilitates the appropriate use and understanding of information and knowledge between information systems and organizations.

The achievement of semantic interoperability is considered one of the biggest challenges for the integration of information systems. Basically, this is due to the fact that meaning changes by context and over time and different requirements in different domains result in different information models. As described in QUALIPSO [Lange 2008], the issue of semantic interoperability appears in two communication contexts: human-to-machine and machine-to-machine context.
the second context, where various information systems have to inter-operate, several dimensions can be considered: *structural* and *representational* incompatibilities in concept modeling, *linguistic* incompatibilities like the use of the same term but different concept, or different term but same concept; and *conceptual* incompatibilities, when concept of different knowledge systems have some overlap in their meaning.

A common mechanism to ensure the correct interpretation of data exchanged between systems is the usage of a common terminology developed and made available to the systems by humans who agreed on the mental representation of each element. By means of controlled vocabularies semantic interoperability can be established. However, in order to allow systems to understand exchanged information in a more dynamic way the sole use of controlled vocabularies is not sufficient. Semantically richer knowledge models, such as taxonomies and ontologies, which define the concepts for a certain domain as well as their relations, are necessary.

**Interoperability enhancement with SKOS**

The Simple Knowledge Organization System, as a standard model to represent controlled vocabularies for the semantic web, can be considered the first step in the achievement of semantic interoperability. SKOS deals with representational and conceptual heterogeneity, enabling the transfer and sharing of vocabularies between different systems and also the sharing of meaning between systems using distinct vocabularies. Moreover, the use of a common mark-up language like XML and a common representation framework as RDF ensures the interoperability of vocabularies at a technical (use of XML file format) and syntactic level.

The key features of SKOS for the achievement of the semantic interoperability are categorized below depending on whether one or multiple vocabularies are used.

**Using the same vocabulary:**
- Sharing of a common syntactic representation of concepts and structure in a standardized way using RDF.
- Sharing of a common subject indexing and retrieval mechanism.
- Re-using and extension of concept schemes (each concept has one URI)\(^1\), maintaining the interoperability at the basic level

**Using several or distinct vocabularies:**
- Connecting concept schemes to create a distributed heterogeneous concept scheme.
- Mapping concept schemes and establishing relations between existing concepts.

---

\(^1\) Re-using and extending concept schemes in SKOS is possible because each concept has one URI. This means that it could be used in several concept schemes at the same time. It allows the use of concepts of a specific concept scheme as a basis, without needing of recreation, adding only the concepts needed for local purposes.
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- Subject Indexing with the more accurate vocabulary for each resource or collection, or using several vocabularies at the same time.
- Federated subject search and subject browsing through several systems or collections. Thanks to the relations established between concepts, the query terms introduced by the users can be mapped to the different vocabularies used for indexing each resource.

Therefore, SKOS can help in the achievement of semantic interoperability of information systems that use either the same or different vocabularies in the subject indexing of their resources. Furthermore, it is the base of the retrieval, enabling the search and browsing of distributed vocabularies and collections.

Limitations of SKOS for Interoperability

Even if SKOS is considered a useful model for the enhancement of semantic interoperability, it has some shortcomings that could affect its achievement. Some of them are directly related with the essential features of the SKOS model, as underlined by [Antoine 2008]:

- SKOS semantics make assumptions that distinguish what could be regularly inferred from a statement. For example, if broader and narrower are inverse of each other it can be inferred that broader is transitive. However, this assumes some reasoning that in a machine environment doesn’t exist.
- Captions could also be considered as preferred labels, and sometimes they can be ambiguous, putting the interoperability at risk.
- SKOS offers some constructs to precise the concept scheme and meet local needs, as for example broaderPartitive. However, it could compromise interoperability between vocabularies when networked.

Other aspects referring to representational issues have been pointed out by [Sanchez and Barriocanal, 2006]:

- The representation of a concept scheme as an RDF graph is not, by itself, enough to make it interoperable because the meaning of the terms in the SKOS vocabulary is not formally defined.
- The existence of similar concepts in different schemes suggests the possibility of establishing mapping criteria to foster the interoperability between them.

The authors summarize these two aspects in one: SKOS does not provide strict computational semantics. That is to say, the representation of a concept scheme as an RDF graph cannot be used as the basis for performing automated tasks associated with knowledge represented in the scheme.

To overcome the shortcomings related with SKOS schemes semantic interoperability, the authors propose an approach based in the use of formal representations to provide the SKOS terms with computational semantics and in the use of intermediate upper-ontologies [are large general
knowledge bases that include definitions of concepts, relations, properties, constraints and instances, as well as reasoning capabilities of these elements. The proposed extension of SKOS would help avoid ambiguities and enable inter-thesaurus interoperability.
4. SKOS RELEVANT LITERATURE

In this section we present a non exhaustive selection of the existing literature (mainly papers and some presentations) written in English about the Simple Knowledge Organization System initiative and about some of the implementations of this model in actual projects or initiatives, serving as an example of the opportunities and possible uses of SKOS.

The selected papers and presentations are organized in two tables depending on the scope of the documents:

- The first table contains the main papers about the SKOS model, distinguishing between those papers merely introducing or presenting the model and those that reflect about the foundations of the model or about its development and future improvement.
- The second table contains more than 20 papers related to SKOS implementations at various levels and from different points of view. In this way, we have categorized the papers in 3 main groups: papers about the representation and conversion of vocabularies to the SKOS model; papers about the alignment of vocabularies or concept schemes by the means of SKOS; and papers about the implementation or development of applications for the indexing and retrieval of resources with vocabularies in SKOS.

Each table has several columns with some differences in the information collected and synthesized in them, as explained below.

The first table is composed by four columns: Bibliographic citation, Authorship, Purpose & abstract and Subjects. In this table, the bibliographic citation includes the title of the article and the author-date citation [See the complete references at the Bibliography section]. The Authorship information column tries to distinguish between the papers created by SKOS developers, members of the SWDWG (Semantic Web Development Working Group), or other authors not necessarily related with the development of SKOS. The purpose & abstract explain briefly the scope and intention of each document, while the subject summarizes it in some keywords.

In the case of the second table, five columns have been created: Bibliographic citation, Authorship, Relation with SKOS, Subjects and Vocabularies involved. The first two have the same content as the previous table. In the third column, Relation with SKOS, we have tried to identify and summarize how SKOS is used in the initiative or implementation described in the paper. The main concepts of the paper in relation with SKOS and semantic interoperability are presented in the Subjects column. Also the vocabularies involved in the initiatives described are listed in the last column.

The last three columns of the second table will help to identify the points in common of these initiatives with the HIVE Project, either because the specific problem addressed by the project or the way SKOS is applied is similar than in HIVE, or because the vocabularies used and maybe already translated into SKOS will be also used in HIVE.
4.1. Introducing SKOS / SKOS application and development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bibliographic citation</th>
<th>Authorship</th>
<th>Purpose / Abstract</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1) INTRODUCTION TO SKOS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple Knowledge Organization and the Semantic Web (Miles, 2006a)</td>
<td>Alistair Miles</td>
<td>Short paper introducing SKOS in two main sections a) Scope, Design and Assumptions and b) Application, Context and Status (2005).</td>
<td>• SKOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKOS Core: Simple knowledge organization for the Web. (Miles, Matthews, Wilson and Brickley, 2005)</td>
<td>SKOS WG</td>
<td>This paper introduces SKOS Core, an RDF vocabulary for expressing the basic structure and content of concept schemes (thesauri, classification schemes, subject heading lists, taxonomies, terminologies, glossaries and other types of controlled vocabulary). The main purpose of this paper is to provide an initial basis for establishing clear recommendations for the use of SKOS Core and DCMI Metadata Terms in combination.</td>
<td>• SKOS Core</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKOS: A language to describe simple knowledge structures for the web. (Miles, Matthews, Brickley, Wilson and Roggers, 2005)</td>
<td>SKOS WG</td>
<td>Introduction to SKOS, including: Origins and background, SKOS basic usage and SKOS Core advanced usage, SKOS applications and tools, SKOS web services.</td>
<td>• SKOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKOS: Simple Knowledge Organization for the Web (Miles and Pérez-Agüera, 2007)</td>
<td>SKOS WG</td>
<td>Introduction of SKOS and description of some alternatives for integrating Semantic Web services based on the Resource Description Framework (RDF) and SKOS into a distributed enterprise architecture.</td>
<td>• SKOS utility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encoding controlled vocabularies for the Semantic Web using SKOS Core (Cantara 2006)</td>
<td>Kelvin Smith Library, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio</td>
<td>Literature based review that introduces SKOS to digital librarians.</td>
<td>• SKOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2) DEVELOPMENT AND APPLICATION OF SKOS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKOS and the Ontogenesis of Vocabularies (Tennis, 2005)</td>
<td>Joseph T. Tennis, University of British Columbia</td>
<td>The paper suggests extensions to SKOS Core to make explicit where concepts in a knowledge organization system have changed from one version of the system to another.</td>
<td>• SKOS development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKOS: Requirements for Standardization</td>
<td>SKOS WG</td>
<td>three questions regarding the planned development of SKOS:</td>
<td>• SKOS development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bibliographic citation</th>
<th>Authorship</th>
<th>Purpose / Abstract</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (Miles, 2006b)          |            | - what is the fundamental purpose and therefore scope of SKOS?  
|                         |            | - which key **software** components depend on SKOS, and how do  
|                         |            | - they interact?  
|                         |            | - what is the wider technological and social context in which SKOS is  
|                         |            | likely to be applied and how might this influence design goals?  
| Retrieval and the Semantic Web incorporating A Theory of Retrieval Using Structured Vocabularies (Miles, 2006c) | Alistair Miles **DISSERTATION** | Alistair Miles masters dissertation "Retrieval and the Semantic Web" which incorporates "A Theory of Retrieval Using Structured Vocabularies"  
|                         |            | It serves as a theoretical basis of the usefulness of SKOS.  
| The Notion of the “Concept Instance”: Problems in Modeling Concept Change in SKOS (Draft Discussion Paper) (Tennis, Sutton and Hillmann 2006) | National Science Digital Library (NSDL) Metadata Registry Team Work | Issues around the creation of historical snapshots of concept changes and their encoding in SKOS, in the context of the NSDL Metadata Registry.  
|                         |            | **SKOS Standardization**  
|                         |            | **Structured Vocabularies**  
|                         |            | **Semantic Web**  
|                         |            | **Information Retrieval**  
| Extending the simple knowledge organization system for concept management in vocabulary development applications (Tennis and Sutton 2008) | National Science Digital Library (NSDL) Metadata Registry Team Work | Describe the development of an extension to the Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS) to accommodate the needs of vocabulary development applications (VDA), in the context of the NSDL Metadata Registry.  
|                         |            | **SKOS development**  
|                         |            | **SKOS tools, VDA**  
|                         |            | **SKOS requirements**  
| On practical aspects of enhancing semantic interoperability using SKOS and KOS alignment (Isaac, 2008) | KRR lab, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam National Library of the Netherlands | This presentation analyzes some issues related to the endangerment or enhancement of interoperability using SKOS.  
|                         |            | **Conclusion:** Despite some issues, SKOS provides a crucial contribution to enhance interoperability of KOSs  
|                         |            | **SKOS**  
|                         |            | **Vocabulary alignment**  
|                         |            | **Interoperability**  
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### 4.2. Applications of SKOS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bibliographic information (references in Procite, need revision)</th>
<th>Authorship</th>
<th>Relation with SKOS &amp; interoperability</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Vocabularies involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1) REPRESENTATION AND CONVERSION OF VOCABULARIES TO SKOS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Method for Converting Thesauri to RDF/OWL (Assem et al., 2004)</td>
<td>Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Department of Computer Science University of Amsterdam, Social Science Informatics (SWI)</td>
<td>General methodology for the conversion of existing thesauri to an RDF/OWL representation. <em>(Using XSLT)</em></td>
<td>• Representation of thesauri with RDF/OWL • Translation of vocabularies • Thesauri</td>
<td>• MeSH • WordNet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Method to Convert Thesauri to SKOS (Assem et al., 2006)</td>
<td>Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Department of Computer Science CCLRC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Business and Information Technology Department</td>
<td>Conversion of thesaurus structure into SKOS structure. <em>(Using Perl scripts)</em></td>
<td>• Representation of vocabularies with SKOS • Translation of vocabularies • Thesauri</td>
<td>• IPSV • GTAA ((dutch acronym for Common Thesaurus for Audiovisual Archives) • MeSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of an ontology in the context of the semantic web: the migration of the traditional documentary thesaurus (Guzmán Luna, Torres Pardo, and López García, 2006)</td>
<td>Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Sede Medellín</td>
<td>Transformation of a thesaurus into an ontology with SKOS</td>
<td>• Representation of vocabularies with SKOS • Transformation of vocabularies • Thesauri</td>
<td>• Tesauro del Centro de Naciones Unidas sobre Asentamientos Humanos / Tesauro del Hábitat.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encoding Library of Congress Subject Headings in SKOS: Authority Control for the Semantic Web (Harper, 2006)</td>
<td>University of Oregon Libraries</td>
<td>This paper describes how to translate LCSH Authority Records from MARC/XML or MADS XML formats into RDF documents, using XSLT stylesheets</td>
<td>• Representation of vocabularies with SKOS • Translation of vocabularies • Subject Headings</td>
<td>• Library of Congress Subject Headings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCSH, SKOS and Linked Data (Summers, et al. 2008)</td>
<td>Library of Congress</td>
<td>This paper describes a technique for converting Library of Congress Subject Headings MARCXML to Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS) RDF. Strengths of the SKOS vocabulary</td>
<td>• Representation of vocabularies with SKOS • Translation of vocabularies • Subject Headings</td>
<td>• Library of Congress Subject Headings MARCXML</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Representation of vocabularies with SKOS</th>
<th>Subject Headings</th>
<th>Vocabularies Browsers</th>
<th>NDLSH (National Diet Library Subject Heading)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Representing National Diet Library Subject Headings (NDLSH) in SKOS and its Graphical Browser**  
(Nagamori and Sugimoto, 2006) | Univ. of Tsukuba (Japan) | This paper describes how to represent NDLSH in SKOS. |
| **Encoding changing country codes in RDF with ISO 3166 and SKOS**  
(Voss, 2007) | Common Library Network (GBV), Germany | This paper shows how authority files can be encoded in RDF with SKOS for the Semantic Web. In particular for encoding the structure, management, and utilization of country codes as defined in ISO 3166. |
| **SKOS: a model for metadata representation and interoperability – Dutch Cultural Heritage Institution thesaurus conversion use case**  
(Malaisé, 2007) | CHOICE team  
(http://www.nwo.nl/CATCH/CHOICE) CATCH program | This paper describes the SKOS model in comparison with the ISO-standard way of representing thesaurus data, and the process (problems and advantages) of conversion of the GTAA thesaurus into SKOS. |
| **Semantic interoperability issues from a case study in archaeology**  
(Tudhope, Binding and May, 2008) | University of Glamorgan, Uk English Heritage | First steps in mapping different (a) datasets and (b) vocabularies to the CIDOC CRM, within an RDF implementation. Discuss issues concerning the mapping of domain thesauri to upper (core) ontologies |
| **Subject classification with DITA and SKOS: managing formal subjects**  
(Hennon, Anderson and Bird, 2005) | IBM Corporation | DITA is a personal content management that allows the user the management of the subjects of its resources. DITA topics are classified with subjects that are expressed in SKOS for runtime processing. |
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## 2) VOCABULARY ALIGNMENT WITH SKOS

| Making use of upper ontologies to foster interoperability between SKOS concept schemes *(Sanchez-Alonso and Garcia-Barriocanal, 2006)* Semantic Interoperability Between SKOS Concept Schemes Using Metadata *(Sanchez-Alonso and Garcia-Barriocanal, 2005)* | University of Alcalá, Spain | The purpose of this research is to introduce a mapping of the Simple Knowledge Organization Systems (SKOS) Core metadata to an ontology-based model, whose main aim is to foster the semantic interoperability of different concept schemes. | • Vocabulary alignment  
• SKOS vocabularies  
• Ontologies  
• Semantic interoperability | • OpenCyc (ontology) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Semantic web and vocabularies interoperability: an experiment with illuminations collections *(Angjeli and Isaac, 2008)* | Antoine Isaac (SKOS DG) and Anila Angjeli (BNF); STITCH (SemánTic Interoperability To access Cultural Heritage) Project (Netherland) | Experiment of semantic interoperability between a controlled vocabulary and a classification system, made on two digital iconographic collections | • Vocabulary alignment  
• Controlled vocabularies  
• Classification systems  
• Semantic interoperability  
• Cultural heritage | • Mandragore (controlled vocabulary)  
• Iconclass (Classification system) |
| A SKOS Core approach to implementing an M2M terminology mapping server *(Macgregor, Joseph and Nicholson, 2007)* | University of Strathclyde, UK | Pilot M2M terminology server employing terminology mapping and using SKOS Core to mark-up terminology responses. Interoperability between terminologies in order to facilitate user access to the discrete heterogeneous digital objects held therein. | • Vocabulary alignment  
• Schema mapping  
• MPM (machine-to-machine) web service  
• Retrieval applications | • Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC)  
• HILT: High-level Thesaurus [http://hilt.cdlr.strath.ac.uk](http://hilt.cdlr.strath.ac.uk) |
| Thesaurus and metadata alignment for a semantic e-culture application *(Tordai, Omelayenko, and Schreiber, 2007)* | VU University, Amsterdam | Methodological approach for porting cultural repositories to the Semantic Web, focusing on the global picture of the required mappings and alignments. It uses SKOS as the thesaurus schema and the method for thesauri conversion proposed by van [Assem, et al. 2006] | • Vocabulary conversion  
• Metadata schema mapping  
• Metadata value mapping  
• Vocabulary alignment  
• Thesaurus;  
• e-culture; art; image | • Art & Architecture Thesaurus (AAT)  
• Thesaurus of Geographic Names (TGN)  
• Dutch ethnographic thesaurus SVCN  
• VRA metadata schema |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Mapping Schema from Chinese Agricultural Thesaurus to AGROVOC</th>
<th>Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations</th>
<th>Criteria and the procedures for mapping the Chinese Agricultural Thesaurus (CAT) to FAO’s multilingual agricultural thesaurus AGROVOC. It proposes modifications to the interthesaurus mapping rules provided in the Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS) specification.</th>
<th><strong>Vocabulary alignment</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Mapmig Schema</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Thesaurus</strong></th>
<th><strong>Chinese Agricultural Thesaurus</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>AGROVOC</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tag Interoperability in Cultural Web-based Applications</td>
<td>Dipartimento di Informatica, Università di Torino,</td>
<td>This paper presents an approach that shows how user interaction data (tags) generated by one application can be exploited by another one in similar domain for integrating user models in distributed and interactive environments. As a scenario, we discuss the tags interoperability among two adaptive systems into the cultural heritage domain. In the interoperability between iCITY and CHIP, we use an open API to request and link user data, and the API used SKOS.</td>
<td><strong>Vocabulary alignment</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Tags mapping</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>cultural heritage</strong></td>
<td><strong>CHIP system (Cultural Heritage Information Personal-ization)</strong>&lt;br&gt;iCITY is a social web-based, multi-device recommender system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3) ANNOTATION AND RETRIEVAL APPLICATIONS USING SKOS VOCABULARIES

<p>| Towards Terminology Services: experiences with a pilot web service thesaurus browser  | Hypermedia Research Unit, University of Glamorgan Wales, UK | Experiments with a pilot web service demonstrator (‘rich client’ browser) for the SKOS API protocol; concepts from the GEneral Multilingual Environmental Thesaurus (GEMET). | <strong>Environmental Science</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>SKOS thesaurus</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>web services</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>thesaurus browser</strong> | <strong>GEneral Multilingual Environmental Thesaurus (GEMET).</strong> |
| Finding Data Resources in a Virtual Observatory Using SKOS Vocabularies | International Virtual Observatory Alliance (<a href="http://ivoa.net/">http://ivoa.net/</a>) | Matching searches in VOExplorer, against tags provided by the resources using SKOS vocabularies. They are translating these vocabularies into SKOS. | <strong>Astronomy</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Tags /keywords</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>retrieval</strong> | <strong>Astronomy Outreach Imagery Metadata</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Astronomy Thesaurus&lt;br&gt;The IVOA Thesaurus&lt;br&gt;Universal Content Descriptors |
| Searching for Relevant Video Shots | Retrieval Group of the | Use SKOS concepts to represent subject | <strong>Annotations with SKOS</strong> | <strong>Library of Congress</strong> |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A situation report for the HIVE Project</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| in BBC Rushes Using Semantic Web Techniques | Information Access Division, Information Technology Laboratory (ITL), NIST, U.S. Commerce Department. Bradley P. Allen (Siderean Software); Valery A. Petrushin, Gang Wei (Accenture Technology Labs); Damian Roqueiro (University of Illinois at Chicago) | metadata in a content-based search and navigation systems of BBC rushes. This web-based system helps TV program makers select relevant shots from a repository of shots that were created automatically from rushes. | • TV/Broadcast  
• MPEG7;  
• Web services  

| Thesaurus of Graphical Materials 1 (TGM 1) |

| SOBOLEO – Social Bookmarking and Lightweight Engineering of Ontologies | Forschungszentrum Informatik, FZI, Germany | SOBOLEO a system for the webbased collaborative engineering of SKOS ontologies and annotation of web resources | • Annotation with SKOS  
• Social bookmarking;  
• Web services  
• Users tags |

| Convergence of Web and TV Broadcast Data for Adaptive Content Access and Navigation | Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, Netherlands | Semantic Web techniques are applied for enriching and aligning Web data and (live) broadcast content. The resulting RDF/OWL knowledge structure is the basis for iFanzy’s main functionality, like semantic search of the broadcast content and execution of context-sensitive recommendations. | • Data Alignment  
• TV/Broadcast vocabularies  
• TV genre vocabularies:  
  ○ TV-Anytime Genres  
  ○ XMLTV Genres  
  ○ IMDB Genres |

| Information Integration from Heterogeneous Data Sources: a Semantic Web Approach. | Center for Biosecurity and Public Health Informatics Research, Houston, TX | An integrated RDF repository has been built from data submitted by eight community hospitals. For each data source, a conceptual graph is created according to the SKOS framework. Uses XML structure of incoming data and a reference ontology to automatically derive a conceptual graph representing the semantics of the data. This graph, which they call a “Simple Concept Organization System” (SCOS), is mapped (semi-automatically) to a set of integration ontologies for semantic integration. | • Automatic generation of SKOS vocabularies  
• Health data  
• Data Integration  
• Adapted from data sources |
### 4) ONTOLOGY MAPPING

| Ontology mappings to improve learning resource search \*(Gasevic and Hatala, 2006)* | School of Interactive Arts and Technology, Simon Fraser University | Interoperate e-learning systems based on different ontologies Searching for learning resources using multiple ontologies; mapping between ontologies (course ontology and ACM CCS classification system), represented in SKOS /// Ontology mapping algorithms for repository searching | • Ontology mapping  
• Mapping algorithms  
• e-learning resources  
• learning object repositories; | • ACM CCS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| DSSim–managing uncertainty on the semantic web \*(Nagy, Vargas-Vera and Motta, 2007)* | Knowledge Media Institute (Kmi) The Open University; Department of Information Systems, Poznan University of Economics (Poland) | This is less related with SKOS and more with ontologies, but could be an example of this kind of papers (there is more in the same workshop). [International Workshop on Ontology Matching](#)  
Mapping ontologies, some of them in SKOS, creating a SKOS Parser: This parser convert SKOS file to OWL which is then processed using the alignment API. Additionally we have developed a chunk SKOS parser which can process SKOS file iteratively in chunks avoiding memory problems. | • Ontology mapping  
• Mapping algorithms |}

---
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5. SKOS RELATED INITIATIVES

In this section we have drawn a panorama of the several initiatives related to SKOS in various aspects, some of them presented in the papers gathered in the previous section and others already collected in the SKOS official webpage and development wiki.

These initiatives have been synthesized in four areas, namely: a list of specific projects and particular implementations of SKOS; a list of tools and applications compliant with the SKOS model, classified in terms of the task that they support in the vocabulary workflow; a compilation of SKOS data in the sense of vocabularies expressed in the SKOS model and openly available online; and finally, a selection of the main research institutions and groups that contribute to the development of SKOS, consolidating and widening its scope.

5.1. Projects and Implementations of SKOS

In this section, around 20 projects applying SKOS for different purposes have been gathered. The scope and purposes of these initiatives vary in a wide range, with regard to the features, levels of implementation and potential uses of SKOS that they adopt.

Some of the projects use Simple SKOS for the representation of vocabularies, as do the CrisCross Project developing a multilingual thesaurus-based research vocabulary; the Bio-zen ontology framework, representing life science vocabularies; the NewsML G2 initiative, translating the IPTC codes into SKOS; or BC2 with the proposal of an SKOS representation of the BLISS general faceted classification.

Two more projects have translated vocabularies into SKOS for experimental purposes, providing visualization and search of these vocabularies, as LCSH.info or the Hybrid And Network-Assisted Vocabulary Interface (HANAVI) graphical browsers. There are also other projects that have developed specific applications for the creation and registration of SKOS vocabularies, as the NSDL Vocabularies Registry, that allows the automatic generation of a RDF graph of the concept schemes registered.

Besides the vocabulary representation, other projects go further and focus in the development of indexing and retrieval systems based on or enhanced by the use of SKOS. Some examples are: the BIRN Project with BIRNlex, a lexicon for neurosciences expressing lexical with SKOS, and allowing the data annotation, integration and query; the CHOICE Project, developing a web service matching query terms to SKOS thesaurus concepts; and even Squiggle, an application framework for model-driven development of real-world Semantic Search Engines.
Finally, we have gathered some initiatives working in the mapping of vocabularies using SKOS features, also enabling the semantic search across different collections and vocabularies. For example, the AIMS Project of the FAO, with a semantic search service that makes use of mapped agriculture thesauri; the High-level Thesaurus (HILT), searching application in multiple vocabularies and systems based on a M2M terminology server; the semantic interoperability initiative of learning object repositories in LORNET; the MultimediaN N9C E-Culture project and the STITCH (SemánTic Interoperability To access Cultural Heritage) Project, both supporting semantic search through several cultural heritage vocabularies and collections.

The projects are listed alphabetically and presented in a four columns table with basic data: project name and URL, authors or institutions involved in the project, relation with SKOS and vocabularies used in the project.
### Project name and URL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project name</th>
<th>Authors/groups /institutions involved</th>
<th>Relation with SKOS &amp; interoperability</th>
<th>Vocabularies involved…</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **AIMS project** ([http://www.fao.org/aims](http://www.fao.org/aims)) | Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations | Semantic search service that makes use of mapped agriculture thesauri. Agrovoc has been converted into SKOS and is being mapped to two other vocabularies: the Chinese Agricultural Thesaurus (CAT) and the National Agricultural Library thesaurus (NAL). This mapping uses links inspired by the SKOS mapping vocabulary. | • Agrovoc Thesaurus  
• Agris/Caris Classification Scheme (ASC)  
• FAO Technical Knowledge Classification Scheme (TKCS)  
• FAOTERM vocabulary |
| **BIRNLex: a lexicon for neurosciences** ([https://xwiki.nbirn.net/xwiki/bin/view/BIRN-OTF/+BIRNLex+Nuts+And+Bolts](https://xwiki.nbirn.net/xwiki/bin/view/BIRN-OTF/+BIRNLex+Nuts+And+Bolts)) | Biomedical Informatics Research Network, Ontology Task Force | BIRNLex is an integrated ontology+lexicon used for various purposes within the BIRN Project to support semantically-formal data annotation, semantic data integration, and semantically-driven, federated query resolution. The goal is to use SKOS for all lexical qualities. | • Neuronames  
• Brainmap.org classification schemes  
• RadLex  
• Gene Ontology  
• Reactome  
• OBI (Ontology of Biomedical Investigation)  
• PATO (Phenotype and Trait Ontology)  
• Subcellular Anatomy Ontology (CCDB - [http://ccdb.ucsd.edu/](http://ccdb.ucsd.edu/))  
• MeSH |
| **CHOICE@CATCH Project** ([http://ems01.mpi.nl/choice-wiki/CHOICE_Main_Page](http://ems01.mpi.nl/choice-wiki/CHOICE_Main_Page)) | Nederlands Instituut voor Beeld en Geluid, CATCH program of the NWO (Netherlands) | Aim: develop key technology to ensure continuous access to the cultural riches of the world Dutch Cultural Heritage Institution thesaurus conversion to SKOS. Developed a web service that uses a Sesame RDF | • Dutch Cultural Heritage Institution thesaurus |
| **CrissCross**  
A situation report for the HIVE Project  
web repository containing the SKOS version of the GTAA thesaurus to retrieve the 'term contexts' of the terms in the input list. | **The German National Library**  
Cologne University of Applied Sciences | The goal of the CrissCross project is the creation of a multilingual, user-friendly, thesaurus-based research vocabulary. To this end, the subject headings of the Subject Heading Authority Files (SWD) are linked with the notations of the Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC).  
• Subject Heading Authority Files (SWD)  
• Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC). |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FACET Project**  
http://www.comp.glam.ac.uk/pages/research/hypermedia/Facet/index.htm | **Investigating the potential of semantic expansion in retrieval. It aimed to take advantage of facet structure in both the interface and retrieval mechanism. They have developed some lightweight and flexible XML formats for the purposes of representing and storing thesaurus data for various research projects. As part of this work, they have experimented with XPATH querying techniques, and done some initial experiments with the Simple Knowledge Organisation System (SKOS) RDF format.** | **Hypermedia Research Unit (Semantic Knowledge Organisation Systems) University of Glamorgan, UK** | **• Getty Art & Architecture Thesaurus**  
• Alexandria Digital Library Feature Type Thesaurus (FTT)** |
| **General faceted classification, "BC2"**  
http://www.blissclassification.org.uk | **Bliss Classification Association (BCA)** | **Classifications in SKOS (only proposal)** | **• General faceted classification, "BC2"** |
| **HANAVI: Hybrid And Network-Assisted Vocabulary Interface**  
http://raus.slis.tsukuba.ac.jp/subjects/graph | **University of Tsukuba, Japan**  
**Representation of vocabularies with SKOS Graphical Browser** | **National Diet Library Subject Headings (NDLSH)** | **• Art & Architecture Thesaurus (AAT)**  
• CAB Thesaurus, CABI  
• Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC)  
• Global Change Master Directory (GCMD) (Science Keywords), NASA  
• HASSET Thesaurus, UK Data Archive at the University of Essex  
• Integrated Public Sector Vocabulary (IPSV), e-Government Unit (UK)  
• Joint Academic Coding System (JACS)** |
| **HILT: High-level Thesaurus:**  
http://hilt.cdlr.strath.ac.uk/ | **Centre for Digital Library Research (CDLR), University of Strathclyde** | **Searching application in multiple vocabularies and systems. Development of an M2M terminology mapping server, to enable improved cross-repository searching. Use SKOS-Core as the 'mark-up' for sending out terminology sets and classification data responses** | **• Art & Architecture Thesaurus (AAT)**  
• CAB Thesaurus, CABI  
• Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC)  
• Global Change Master Directory (GCMD) (Science Keywords), NASA  
• HASSET Thesaurus, UK Data Archive at the University of Essex  
• Integrated Public Sector Vocabulary (IPSV), e-Government Unit (UK)  
• Joint Academic Coding System (JACS)** |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project/Group</th>
<th>Organization/University</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Related Resources/Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IVOA Semantics Working Group</td>
<td>IVOA (International Virtual Observatory Alliance)</td>
<td>Use of SKOS vocabularies to facilitate access and retrieval of resources in the IVOA</td>
<td>• JITA Classification Schema, E-Prints in Library and Information Science (E-LIS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Library of Congress Subject Headings (LCSH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• National Monuments Record Thesaurus (NMR), English Heritage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• UNESCO Thesaurus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Wordnet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KoMoHe project &quot;Competence Center Modeling and Treatment of Semantic Heterogeneity&quot;</td>
<td>GESIS / Social Science Information Centre (IZ), Germany</td>
<td>KoMoHe supervised a terminology mapping effort, in which 'cross-concordances' between major controlled vocabularies were organized, created and managed. They plan on transferring the cross-concordance data to the SKOS format. <em>(only proposal)</em></td>
<td>• Astronomy Outreach Imagery Metadata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The Astronomy Thesaurus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The IVOA Thesaurus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Universal Content Descriptors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LCSH, SKOS and Linked Data <em>(Summers, et al. 2008)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LORNET, Theme 1 Interoperability of learning object repositories</td>
<td>LORNET, Simon Fraser University, Canada</td>
<td>Ontology mapping algorithms with SKOS for repository/digital library searching, related to learning resources in a specific course.</td>
<td>• ACM CSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MichaelPlus Project</td>
<td>Ministry of Culture of the CR, Department of Movable Cultural</td>
<td>The Michael platform supports interoperability in the schema, record and repository levels. They plan to achieve the semantic level with SKOS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project/Source/Website</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Examples/Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MultimediaN N9C E-Culture project</strong>&lt;br&gt;<a href="http://e-culture.multimedian.nl/">http://e-culture.multimedian.nl/</a></td>
<td>University of Vrije&lt;br&gt;University of Amsterdam&lt;br&gt;CWI (Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica) (all Netherlands)</td>
<td><strong>Aim:</strong> to create a large virtual collection of cultural-heritage objects that supports semantic search. Demonstrator where multiple collections and vocabularies are converted to RDF/OWL and are aligned semantically. Translation of some vocabularies into SKOS.</td>
<td>• Art &amp; Architecture Thesaurus (AAT)&lt;br&gt;• Thesaurus of Geographic Names (TGN)&lt;br&gt;• Dutch ethnographic thesaurus SVCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NewsML G2 and the Semantic Web</strong>&lt;br&gt;<a href="http://newsml.cwi.nl/">http://newsml.cwi.nl/</a></td>
<td>K-Space (EU)&lt;br&gt;CWI (Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica) (Netherlands)&lt;br&gt;The Agence France Presse (AFP)</td>
<td>IPTC maintains a number of controlled vocabularies, called Newscodes, that have been translated into SKOS. Demo of NewsML</td>
<td>• IPTC News Codes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Semantic Web Model for Information Integration</strong>&lt;br&gt;<a href="http://www.phinformatics.org/ResearchProjects/InformationIntegration/tabid/79/Default.aspx">http://www.phinformatics.org/ResearchProjects/InformationIntegration/tabid/79/Default.aspx</a></td>
<td>Center for Biosecurity and Public Health Informatics Research (CBPHIR) at the UT Health Science Center, Houston, School of Health Information Sciences</td>
<td>Automatic generation of SKOS conceptual graphs, using the XML structure of incoming data and a reference ontology. The graphs of “Simple Concept Organization System” (SCOS), are mapped (semi-automatically) to a set of integration ontologies for semantic integration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SKOsaurus Project</strong>&lt;br&gt;[<a href="http://web.archive.org/web/20070102065709/http://skosaurus.rrecktek.com/">http://web.archive.org/web/20070102065709/http://skosaurus.rrecktek.com/</a>] [Non continued project]</td>
<td>Ken Sall and Ronald P. Reck.</td>
<td>Pilot implementation using RDF-based SKOS (Simple Knowledge Organization System) to represent federal glossaries and acronym lists. The thesaurus approach enables term gatherers and authors to input terms and definitions via Excel, via a web form, or by using RDF editors.</td>
<td>• Mandragore ; (controlled vocabulary)&lt;br&gt;• Iconclass (Classification system)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STITCH (SemánTic Interoperability To access Cultural Heritage) Project (Netherland)</strong>&lt;br&gt;DEMONSTRATOR: <a href="http://www.cs.vu.nl/STITCH/BNF_KB_demo.html">http://www.cs.vu.nl/STITCH/BNF_KB_demo.html</a></td>
<td>Bibliothèque nationale de France&lt;br&gt;National Library of the Netherlands</td>
<td>Vocabulary alignment with SKOS Semantic interoperability, especially in relation to conduct semantic searches across several digital heritage collections.</td>
<td>• Mandragore ; (controlled vocabulary)&lt;br&gt;• Iconclass (Classification system)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Squiggle: an application framework for model-</strong>&lt;br&gt;CEFRIEL (Center of</td>
<td>CEFRIEL (Center of</td>
<td>Squiggle is a framework to support the development</td>
<td>• Mandragore ; (controlled vocabulary)&lt;br&gt;• Iconclass (Classification system)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**driven development of real-world Semantic Search Engines**

[http://squiggle.cefriel.it/](http://squiggle.cefriel.it/)

- Excellence For Research, Innovation, Education and industrial labs
- Polytechnic University of Milan, Italy

- of domain-specific search engines that exploit the semantics of domain ontologies to improve the search functionalities. It supports both the conceptual indexing phase and the semantic search. For the Semantic Suggestions, Squiggle exploit some SKOS primitives.

**SWED (Semantic Web Environmental Directory)**

[http://www.swed.org.uk](http://www.swed.org.uk)

- Semantic Web Advanced Development - Europe (SWAD-E) Project, EU

- Web-based directory of organisations and projects in the United Kingdom. 5 distinct controlled vocabularies used within the SWED system, and are represented in RDF.

- [http://www.swed.org.uk/swed/swed_technical_resources.htm](http://www.swed.org.uk/swed/swed_technical_resources.htm)

**Terminology Services Project**


- OCLC Online Computer Library Center, Inc.

- Provides Web-based services for controlled vocabularies. Retrieve concepts/headings in multiple representations including HTML, MARC XML, SKOS, and Zthes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ski Ontology</td>
<td>Drive the development of real-world Semantic Search Engines. Exploits the semantics of domain ontologies to improve search functionalities. Supports both conceptual indexing and semantic search. For Semantic Suggestions, Squiggle exploits some SKOS primitives.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWED (Semantic Web Environmental Directory)</td>
<td>Web-based directory of organisations and projects in the United Kingdom. 5 distinct controlled vocabularies used within the SWED system, represented in RDF.</td>
<td><a href="http://www.swed.org.uk/swed/swed_technical_resources.htm">http://www.swed.org.uk/swed/swed_technical_resources.htm</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2. Tools

There exist many different SKOS compliant tools that have been gathered for this section. The relation of these tools with SKOS covers a wide range, from tools strongly related to SKOS or created within the specification, as in the case of the SKOS Validator or the SKOS API, to some software components that simply offer an extension to a particular tool, adding some functionalities for the representation or automatic generation of vocabularies in SKOS.

SKOS is intended to support the interoperation of three principal software components involved in the management of digital libraries: (a) a tool to manage the development of a controlled structured vocabulary; (b) a tool to manage the development of a subject index or classification for a particular collection of items; (c) a tool to enable retrieval of items from a collection using a vocabulary and an index.

This software components configure the software architecture anticipated by [Miles, 2006], when designing the workflow of vocabularies creation and use. In this workflow, three types of applications can be distinguished: the “Vocabulary Development Application” (VDA), the “Indexing Application” (IA) and the “Retrieval Application”.

The tools presented in the table below, as far as possible, have been organized and classified according to these three categories:

- In the first group, of Vocabulary Development Applications and other tools useful for this task, we have put together the SKOS Validator; the thesaurus creation tools Tematres and ThManager with SKOS export capabilities; Synaptica, the KOS management commercial tool from Dow Jones - Factiva; and SKOS conversion tools like SKOS2GenTax, Annocultor, gettyconvert and those of the University of Vrije (Amsterdam). Also fall into this category the plugins for some other tools as the Protégé ontologies editor, Flickr and Wordpress, which allow the generation of SKOS RDF graphs.

- The second group presents some Indexing Applications or related tools, like the KEA keyphrase extraction algorithm for the indexing of resources with SKOS vocabularies; a content authoring tool that uses SKOS for subject encoding; an add-on to DSpace repositories allowing the subject indexing of resources browsing and selecting terms from a SKOS vocabulary; and finally SOBOLEO, that allows the annotation of resources developing collaborative vocabularies in SKOS at the same time.

- The third group presents some specific Retrieval Applications that provide access to thesauri and other simple knowledge organisation systems and enable the query of concept in these vocabularies, as the SKOS API, or the XPath and Facet web interfaces of the Hypermedia Research Unit of the University of Glamorgan.

In this case we have used a five column table, with the following basic data: tool name and URL, authors or institutions involved in its development, the specific kind of tool, its relation with SKOS
and interoperability, and if available, the vocabularies involved in the project or presented as an example of the tool usefulness.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool name and URL</th>
<th>Authors /groups /institutions involved</th>
<th>Kind of Tool</th>
<th>Relation with SKOS &amp; interoperability</th>
<th>Vocabularies involved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SKOS Validator <a href="http://esw.w3.org/topic/SkosValidator">http://esw.w3.org/topic/SkosValidator</a></td>
<td>Alistair Miles</td>
<td>SKOS RDF validator</td>
<td>RDF syntax checking and RDF schema validation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| ThManager [http://thmanager.sourceforge.net/](http://thmanager.sourceforge.net/) | Advanced Information Systems Laboratory of the University of Zaragoza, Spain | SKOS vocabulary creator (also mapping, as vocabularies can be imported) | Creating and visualizing SKOS RDF vocabularies | Examples:  
- GEMET (General Multilingual European Thesaurus)  
- AGROVOC  
- UNESCO Thesaurus European Territorial Units  
- ISO-639 |
| Vrije Un. Thesaurus conversion tools [http://thesauri.cs.vu.nl/eswc06/](http://thesauri.cs.vu.nl/eswc06/) | University of Vrije | Conversion tool | Convert thesaurus to SKOS 3 conversion programs (each thesaurus) in Perl. |  |
| SKOS2GenTax [http://www.heppnetz.de/projects/skos2gentax/](http://www.heppnetz.de/projects/skos2gentax/) | Semantics in Business Information Systems Group (SEBIS), University of Innsbruck, Austria. | Conversion tool | Converts hierarchical classifications available in the W3C SKOS format into RDF-S or OWL ontologies |  |
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### PLUGINS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plugin</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skos Editor Protégé plugin</td>
<td>EU Sealife project and CO-ODE Plugin for Protégé (thesauri creation tool) support for viewing and editing SKOS vocabularies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Flickr Backup</td>
<td>Aaron Straup Cope (Yahoo!) Plugin - Backup tool for Flickr Flickr account with all comments, tags, annotations in RDF and using SKOS for concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open Link Data Spaces</td>
<td>Open Link Software Plugin for export - Distributed Collaborative Application platform Creates RDF graphs (including SKOS information) about each ODS account activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morten’s FOAF/SKOS plugin for WordPress</td>
<td>Dan Brickley exporting Wordpress categories SKOS in RDF/N3 as an output format (Dan Brickley script)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2) Indexing Applications

| KEA - The keyphrase extraction algorithm | Waikato University, New Zealand | Indexing Application indexing documents with terms from any thesaurus or vocabulary in SKOS format |
| Darwin Information Typing Architecture (DITA XML) | IBM | Content authoring tool Content authoring tool that allows the management and classification of subjects in personal content in SKOS |
| SOBOLEO – Social Bookmarking and Lightweight Engineering of Ontologies | Forschungszentrum Informatik, FZI, Germany | Annotation tool / SKOS ontology creation tool VDA/ IA /RA SOBOLEO a system for the webbased collaborative engineering of SKOS ontologies and annotation of web resources. SOBOLEO is a Web application |

*culture.multimedian.nl/software/gettyconvert/* and ULAN) XML files into SKOS.

- Thesaurus of Geographic Names (TGN)
- Union List of Artist Names (ULAN)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ontology Add-on</th>
<th>Universidade do Minho (Portugal)</th>
<th>Add-on for DSpace /IA and RA</th>
<th>The ontology add-on allows the user to choose from a defined set of keywords organised in an ontology tree and then use these keywords to describe items while they are being submitted</th>
<th><strong>• ACM Computing Classification System [1998 version]</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ontology Add-on</strong></td>
<td><strong><a href="http://dspace-dev.dsi.uminho.pt:8080/en/addon_ontology.jsp">http://dspace-dev.dsi.uminho.pt:8080/en/addon_ontology.jsp</a></strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**• Retrieval Applications**

| SKOS API | Dave Beckett, Nikki Rogers, Alistair Miles | Retrieval Application | Provide access to thesauri and other simple knowledge organisation systems (SKOS) via the web (JAVA) | **• Alexandria Digital Library Feature Type Thesaurus**
**• Government Category List**
**• Australian Public Affairs Information Service**
**• Guidelines on Subject Access to Individual Works of Fiction, Drama etc.** |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SKOS API</strong></td>
<td><strong><a href="http://www.w3.org/2001/sw/Europe/reports/thes/skosapi.html">http://www.w3.org/2001/sw/Europe/reports/thes/skosapi.html</a></strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XPATH search and navigation on SKOS format files</th>
<th>Hypermedia Research Unit, University of Glamorgan, Pontypridd, Wales UK</th>
<th>Query tool</th>
<th>XPATH expressions to query a thesaurus directly from a SKOS format RDF file</th>
<th><strong>• Getty Art &amp; Architecture Thesaurus (AAT)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>XPATH search and navigation on SKOS format files</strong></td>
<td><strong><a href="http://www.comp.glam.ac.uk/~facet/formats/skos/skos_search.htm">http://www.comp.glam.ac.uk/~facet/formats/skos/skos_search.htm</a></strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The FACET web interface</th>
<th>Hypermedia Research Unit, University of Glamorgan Wales, UK</th>
<th>Retrieval Application</th>
<th>Dynamically generated, data driven &quot;query builder&quot; web interface</th>
<th><strong>• Getty Art &amp; Architecture Thesaurus (AAT)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>The FACET web interface</strong></td>
<td><strong>Demonstrations (SKOS API protocol)</strong></td>
<td><strong><a href="http://www.comp.glam.ac.uk/~FACET/webdemo/default.htm">http://www.comp.glam.ac.uk/~FACET/webdemo/default.htm</a></strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ClioPatria semantic search web-server</th>
<th>MultimediaN N9C E-Culture project</th>
<th>Retrieval Application</th>
<th>SWI-Prolog based platform for Semantic Web Applications. Used in MultimediaN N9C E-Culture project; DBtune project, CATCH CHIP project and NewsML G2 K-Space project</th>
<th><strong>• Getty Art &amp; Architecture Thesaurus (AAT)</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ClioPatria semantic search web-server</strong></td>
<td><strong><a href="http://e-culture.multimedian.nl/software/ClioPatria.shtml">http://e-culture.multimedian.nl/software/ClioPatria.shtml</a></strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3. SKOS Data

Several SKOS vocabularies freely available online have been gathered for this section. The table, ordered alphabetically by the name of the vocabulary, includes the authors of the vocabulary translation or generation and the links to the RDF graphs or the webpage that contain the URL of the graphs or information about the translated vocabulary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SKOS data initiative and URL</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Vocabularies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACM CCS in SKOS</td>
<td>Universidade do Minho (Portugal)</td>
<td>ACM Computing Classification System [1998 Version]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDF Description of AGROVOC</td>
<td>Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)</td>
<td>AGROVOC FAO Agricultural Thesaurus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[broken link]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDF Description of the FTT (2002-07-03 release)</td>
<td>Ceri Binding, University of Glamorgan</td>
<td>Alexandria Digital Library Feature Type Thesaurus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.comp.glam.ac.uk/~facet/formats/skos_FTT.rdf">http://www.comp.glam.ac.uk/~facet/formats/skos_FTT.rdf</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDF Description of the APAIS thesaurus</td>
<td>Alistair Miles</td>
<td>APAIS (Australian Public Affairs Information Service) Thesaurus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://isegserv.itd.rl.ac.uk/skos/apais/">http://isegserv.itd.rl.ac.uk/skos/apais/</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDF description of the GCL</td>
<td>Alistair Miles</td>
<td>GCL UK Government Category List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://isegserv.itd.rl.ac.uk/skos/gcl/">http://isegserv.itd.rl.ac.uk/skos/gcl/</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDF Description of the GEMET</td>
<td>Alistair Miles</td>
<td>GEMET General Multilingual Environmental Thesaurus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://isegserv.itd.rl.ac.uk/skos/gemet/">http://isegserv.itd.rl.ac.uk/skos/gemet/</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IANA Language Subtag Registry in SKOS</td>
<td>Jakob Voss</td>
<td>IANA Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://esw.w3.org/topic/IANA_Language_Subtag_REGISTRY_IN_SKOS">http://esw.w3.org/topic/IANA_Language_Subtag_REGISTRY_IN_SKOS</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAU/IVOA Thesauri</td>
<td>IVOA (International Virtual Observatory Alliance)</td>
<td>• IAU93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.astro.physik.uni-goettingen.de/~hessman/rdf/index.html">http://www.astro.physik.uni-goettingen.de/~hessman/rdf/index.html</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>• IVOAT (IVOA Thesaurus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• UCD1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Sky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• math</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musicbrainz</td>
<td>Ivan Herman</td>
<td>Instrument taxonomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vrije Universiteit converted thesaurus</td>
<td>Vrije UNiversiteit</td>
<td>• IPSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://thesauri.cs.vu.nl/eswc06/">http://thesauri.cs.vu.nl/eswc06/</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>• GTAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• MeSH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 3166 RDF Representation</td>
<td>Earle Martin</td>
<td>ISO 3166 definitions of country names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO 639 RDF Representation</td>
<td>Earle Martin</td>
<td>ISO 639 definition of language names.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library of Congress Subject Headings</td>
<td>Library of Congress</td>
<td>Library of Congress Subject Headings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://lcsh.info">http://lcsh.info</a></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="http://lcsh.info/static/lcsh.nt.zip">http://lcsh.info/static/lcsh.nt.zip</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDF description of the UKAT</td>
<td>Alistair Miles</td>
<td>UKAT UK Archival Thesaurus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://isegserv.itd.rl.ac.uk/skos/ukat/">http://isegserv.itd.rl.ac.uk/skos/ukat/</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKOS Version of WordNet 2.0</td>
<td>John M. Linebarger</td>
<td>Wordnet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://isegserv.itd.rl.ac.uk/skos/WordNet.zip">http://isegserv.itd.rl.ac.uk/skos/WordNet.zip</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4. Organizations

Concluding this section of SKOS related initiatives, a selection of the main research institutions and groups that contribute to the development of SKOS, consolidating and widening its scope, is presented. Most of them have been already gathered in the SKOSDev Relevant Organizations section, but here he add some value to that list linking each organization with the specific projects, tools or SKOS data developed by them.

To the data is presented in a four column table: one for the organization name and URL of its webpage if available, and three more for the projects, tools and SKOS data in which these organizations or individuals have been involved. We provide minimal information of the initiatives referred in these three columns as it has been already given in the previous sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organizations</th>
<th>Projects</th>
<th>Tools</th>
<th>SKOS Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A) Academic Organisations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Centre for Digital Library Research (CDLR), Department of Computer & Information Sciences, University of Strathclyde, UK [http://cdlr.strath.ac.uk/](http://cdlr.strath.ac.uk/) | • HILT: High-level Thesaurus | • HILT SOAP client  
• Demo of SRU  
• HILT2 Emulation  
• Vocabulary Browse/Search  
• Lucene Spell Checker  
• Wordnet  
• BUBL Search (example of embedding toolkit elements in a service) | |
| Documentation Research & Training Centre (DRTC), Indian Statistical Institute (ISI), India - [http://drtc.isibang.ac.in](http://drtc.isibang.ac.in) | | • AGROVOC Thesaurus Plugin for DSpace [Not included in tools, link not found] | |
| Hypermedia Research Unit (Semantic Knowledge Organisation Systems) University of Glamorgan, UK [http://www.comp.glam.ac.uk/pages/research/hypermedia/](http://www.comp.glam.ac.uk/pages/research/hypermedia/) | • EPSRC FACET Project | • XPATH search and navigation on SKOS  
• SKOS API Browsing Client | • RDF description of the FTT (Alexandria Digital Library Feature Type Thesaurus) |
| HCS Laboratory, University of Amsterdam [http://hcs.science.uva.nl/](http://hcs.science.uva.nl/) | • MultimediaN N9C E-Culture project  
• STITCH | • Vrije Un. Thesaurus conversion tools | • IPSV  
• GTAA  
• MeSH |
| Cologne University of Applied Sciences (Fachhochschule Köln), Germany | • CrissCross | | |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University of Library and Information Science, Tsukuba Science City, Japan. <a href="http://www.slis.tsukuba.ac.jp/">http://www.slis.tsukuba.ac.jp/</a></th>
<th>HANAVI: Hybrid And Network-Assisted Vocabulary Interface</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School of Interactive Arts+Technology, Simon Fraser University, Canada <a href="http://www.siat.sfu.ca/research/projects/29/">http://www.siat.sfu.ca/research/projects/29/</a></td>
<td>LORNET, Theme 1 Interoperability of learning object repositories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Information Systems Laboratory of the University of Zaragoza, Spain <a href="http://iaaa.cps.unizar.es/investigacion/proyectos/ID_english.html">http://iaaa.cps.unizar.es/investigacion/proyectos/ID_english.html</a></td>
<td>ThManager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantics in Business Information Systems Group (SEBIS), (Martin Hepp and Andreas Radinger) University of Innsbruck, Austria. <a href="http://sebis.deri.org/">http://sebis.deri.org/</a></td>
<td>SKOS2GenTax</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**B) Government and Other Public Organisations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dutch National Library (Koninklijke Bibliotheek), The Netherlands - <a href="http://www.kb.nl/">http://www.kb.nl/</a></td>
<td>STITCH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization/Project</th>
<th>Related Projects/Initiatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GESIS / Social Science Information Centre (IZ), Germany - <a href="http://www.gesis.org/">http://www.gesis.org/</a></td>
<td>• KoMoHe Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVOA Semantics Working Group <a href="http://www.ivoa.net/cgi-bin/twiki/bin/view/IVOA/IvoaSemantics">http://www.ivoa.net/cgi-bin/twiki/bin/view/IVOA/IvoaSemantics</a></td>
<td>• IAU/IVOA Thesauri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic, Department of Movable Cultural Heritage Preservation, Museum and Galleries</td>
<td>• MichaelPlus Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CWI (Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica), Netherlands</td>
<td>• MultimediaN N9E Culture project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• NewsML G2 and the Semantic Web</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• AnnoCultor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Center for Biosecurity and Public Health Informatics Research (CBPHIR) at the UT Health Science Center, Houston, School of Health <a href="http://www.phinformatics.org/">http://www.phinformatics.org/</a></td>
<td>• Semantic Web Model for Information Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bibliothèque nationale de France (National Library of France)</td>
<td>• STITCH <a href="http://www.cs.vu.nl/STITCH/BNF_KB_demo.html#Credit">http://www.cs.vu.nl/STITCH/BNF_KB_demo.html#Credit</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Koninklijke Bibliotheek) National Library of the Netherlands</td>
<td>• STITCH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C) Charities, NGOs and Other Not-For-Profit Organisations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization/Project</th>
<th>Related Projects/Initiatives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Council for the Central Laboratory of the Research Councils (CCLRC)</td>
<td>• SWAD-Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCLC Online Computer Library Center, Inc.</td>
<td>• Terminology Service Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEFRIEL - Politecnico di Milano; Semantic Web group Web site: <a href="http://swa.cefriel.it">http://swa.cefriel.it</a></td>
<td>• Squiggle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbundzentrale des GBV (VZG) - Common Library Network – Germany - <a href="http://www.gbv.de">http://www.gbv.de</a></td>
<td>[See Jakob Voss in Individuals]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forschungszentrum Informatik, FZI, Germany (Research Center for Information Technology) <a href="http://www.fzi.de/eng/index.php">http://www.fzi.de/eng/index.php</a></td>
<td>• SOBOLEO [As part of the MATURE Project]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### D) Commercial Organisations
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company/Individual</th>
<th>Tools/Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>GridLine BV</strong> &lt;br&gt; <a href="http://www.gridline.nl">http://www.gridline.nl</a></td>
<td>• GridWalker Thesaurus Tools, interested in conversion to SKOS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Factiva, a Dow Jones &amp; Reuters Company</strong> &lt;br&gt; <a href="http://www.factiva.com">http://www.factiva.com</a></td>
<td>• Synaptica 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RRecktek LLC</strong> &lt;br&gt; <a href="http://iama.recktek.com">http://iama.recktek.com</a></td>
<td>• SKOSaurus Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Agence France Presse (AFP)</strong></td>
<td>• NewsML G2 and the Semantic Web</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open Link Software</strong> &lt;br&gt; <a href="http://www.openlinksw.com/">http://www.openlinksw.com/</a></td>
<td>• Open Link Data Spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IBM Corporation</strong></td>
<td>• Darwin Information Typing Architecture (DITA XML)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### E) Individuals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Tools/Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aaron Straup Cope</strong> &lt;br&gt; <a href="http://www.aaronland.net/">http://www.aaronland.net/</a></td>
<td>• Net Flickr Backup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alistair Miles</strong> [SWDWG] &lt;br&gt; <a href="http://www.bitd.clrc.ac.uk/Person/A.J.Miles">http://www.bitd.clrc.ac.uk/Person/A.J.Miles</a></td>
<td>• SKOS API  &lt;br&gt; • SKOS Validator  &lt;br&gt; • RDF Description of the APAIS thesaurus  &lt;br&gt; • RDF description of the GCL  &lt;br&gt; • RDF description of the UKAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dave Beckett</strong> &lt;br&gt; <a href="http://www.dajobe.org/">http://www.dajobe.org/</a></td>
<td>• SKOS API</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Earle Martin</strong> &lt;br&gt; <a href="http://downlode.org">http://downlode.org</a></td>
<td>• ISO 3166 RDF Representation  &lt;br&gt; • ISO 639 RDF Representation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ivan Herman</strong>  &lt;br&gt; W3C SWA Lead / Centre for Mathematics and Computer Sciences (CWI), Netherlands &lt;br&gt; <a href="http://www.w3.org/People/Ivan/">http://www.w3.org/People/Ivan/</a></td>
<td>• Musicbrainz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>John M. Linebarger</strong>  &lt;br&gt; Sandia National Laboratories,</td>
<td>• Wordnet in SKOS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Albuquerque, USA | Nikki Rogers  
Institute for Learning & Research Technology, University of Bristol, UK  
[http://www.iltb.bris.ac.uk/aboutus/staff/staffprofile/?search=nr8262](http://www.iltb.bris.ac.uk/aboutus/staff/staffprofile/?search=nr8262) | • SWAD-E (European Semantic Web) project  
[http://www.w3.org/2001/sw/Europe/](http://www.w3.org/2001/sw/Europe/) | • SKOS API |
6. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE HIVE PROJECT

The HIVE (Helping Interdisciplinary Vocabulary Engineering) Project proposes an automatic metadata generation approach that dynamically integrates discipline specific controlled vocabularies and assists content creators (or professionals) with subject cataloging for the Dryad repository. This repository holds data of evolutionary biology, an interdisciplinary field that has proved to be hardly represented by a single vocabulary.

The aim of this section is the selection and grouping of those initiatives, tools, data and other achievements that could help the HIVE project researchers to accomplish the objectives and tasks necessary for the building of HIVE, mainly the vocabulary preparation and the vocabulary server development phases.

Vocabulary preparation phase:

For this phase, devoted to the conversion of the sample vocabularies to SKOS and the development of a conversion algorithm, at least two kinds of initiatives are going to be useful: on the one hand, those vocabularies already represented in SKOS related to the evolutionary biology, and on the other hand, the thesaurus creator or conversion tools with SKOS capabilities for those vocabularies that should be specifically converted to meet the HIVE Project goals.

A) Vocabularies in SKOS

In a previous assessment for the project, a keyword extraction from Dryad journal papers was performed, and the terms selected were mapped to several vocabularies. A partial match to the NBII Thesaurus, MeSH and LCSH vocabularies was found. Besides, the project proposal refers to the LCSH MARC 21, TGN and NBII vocabularies as needed to be converted to SKOS.

In this sense, any of the initiatives and SKOS Data reported in the section 5 of this document that deal with these mentioned vocabularies would be helpful, and they are summarized below:

- **LCSH**: there are several initiatives that have already converted the LCSH to SKOS, as the lcsh.info (by the own Library of Congress), the HILT: High-level Thesaurus Project of the Centre for Digital Library Research (CDLR), University of Strathclyde; and the Terminology Services Project of OCLC.

- **MeSH**: the University of Vrije’s thesauri conversion initiative ([http://thesauri.cs.vu.nl/eswc06/](http://thesauri.cs.vu.nl/eswc06/)) offers the SKOS RDF graph of the Medical Subject Headings, as well as the perl conversion program used to convert it from the original XML schema. Besides, some projects have worked with SKOS representations of MeSH, as BIRNLex of the Biomedical Informatics Research Network, and also the HILT: High-level...
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Thesaurus Project (CDLR, U. Strathclyde) and the Terminology Services Project of OCLC. Moreover, the KEA (Keyphrase extraction algorithm) software allows the automatic matching of indexer terms with several vocabularies, as MeSH (http://www.nzdl.org/Kea/examples2.html).

- **TGN**: in the case of the Thesaurus of Geographic Names, the MultimediaN N9C E-Culture project, has converted this vocabulary into RDF with its own tool gettyconvert (http://e-culture.multimedian.nl/software/gettyconvert), and the XML schemas are freely available in the package.

- **NBII**: in the early stages of the SKOS development by SWAD-Europe, a segment of the CSA/NBII Biocomplexity Thesaurus was converted to SKOS and integrated in the SKOS Thesaurus prototype. Now it has been completed and can be queried using the Biodiversity Thesaurus Web Services offered at (http://nbii-thesaurus.ornl.gov/thesaurus/).

Furthermore, and taking into account the main disciplines present in this evolutionary biology repository, other initiatives using science vocabularies could be interesting: for example, the BIRNLex: a lexicon for neurosciences, that deals with specific ontologies like the Gene Ontology, Reactome biological processes in humans), PATO (Phenotype and Trait Ontology) or the Subcellular Anatomy Ontology; the Bio-zen ontology framework that with Open Biomedical Ontologies (OBO). Also for some issues, concepts from the GEMET (General Multilingual Environmental Thesaurus), already translated into SKOS, could be applied.

**B) Conversion Tools and projects:**

Several of the tools mentioned in the section 5.2. of this document, mainly in the Vocabulary Development Applications group, can be useful for the first phase of the HIVE Project.

The thesaurus creation tools Tematres and ThManager, and the ontologies editor Protégé, with SKOS export, view or editing capabilities, can be a choice to consider.

But surely, the SKOS conversion tools like Annocultor and gettyconvert, created for the MultimediaN N9C E-Culture, and those of the University of Vrije will be more helpful for HIVE, even though the last two are custom-built solutions for the specific vocabularies they dealt with. In any case, they will serve as an example or model for the development of the own HIVE conversion algorithm.

Also, the use of stylesheets to convert vocabularies into SKOS has been reported in several initiatives, for example for the translating of the LCSH (Harper 2008).

In the case of need of representation of a new or existing vocabulary in SKOS for the Dryad repository, and the subsequent concept scheme registration, a useful tool would be the NSDL Vocabularies Registry. This tool is able to export RDF graphs of the registered vocabularies.
Finally, the **SKOS Validator Service** ([http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/validation](http://www.w3.org/2004/02/skos/validation)), available online at the SKOS webpage would be useful for the HIVE developers in order to check the validity and consistency of the SKOS vocabularies generated or converted.

**Vocabulary Server Development**

This phase will be focused in the building of a system that can search SKOS vocabularies, and a database that can store and present SKOS relationships for selection during cataloging. The specific tasks are: 1) Build a database system using that supports search and vocabulary enhancement functions; 2) Implement an algorithm that will automatically extract keywords from a document’s abstract; and 3) Develop a module linking to Dryad’s deposition of datasets for articles.

These features have been noticed in some of the projects and implementations of SKOS as well as in specific tools developed for them, gathered for the sections 4 and 5 of the report.

Firstly, the SKOS API should be considered as a mechanism to provide access to thesauri and other simple knowledge organisation systems via the web. Developed in Java, this API can be adopted and integrated in a SKOS vocabulary access web service.

Secondly, there are several projects that have developed tools or services for the **visualization, browsing and querying** of vocabularies. Some projects with web search services are: the **AIMS project** of FAO; the **CHOICE@CATCH Project**; the **HANAVI** graphical browser of the Univ. of Tsukuba; the **FACET Project** of the Hypermedia Research Unit, University of Glamorgan; the **HILT (High-level Thesaurus)**; the graphical representation of LCSH as Linked Data in **LCSH.info**; the **MultimediaN N9C E-Culture project**, or the SRU interfaces prototypes of the OCLC’s **Terminology Services Project**.

In particular, the FACET, HILT and E-Culture projects offer interesting demonstrators that could serve as a model for the purposes of HIVE.

- The **FACET** project explored how a thesaurus can be integrated into the search interface and the potential of semantic expansion in querying collections indexed with controlled metadata. Even if they don’t use SKOS for the web interface prototype they developed, it is an interesting example. This project also has done some research in the use of XPATH querying techniques to access XML/RDF data as SKOS thesauri, and they offer a web demonstrator.
- The **HILT** project also offers a pilot terminologies server and some valuable client demonstrators, using SOAP and SRW web technologies, and including a M2M demonstrator.
- Finally, the **MultimediaN N9C E-Culture** project has developed a demonstrator that uses the semantic search web-server Cliopatria (the same used later for the NewsML G2 Demo). This search engine offers access to several cultural heritage collections, matching the queries to multiple vocabularies and thesauri.
Apart from these particular web services implementations, there is a project that could help in the development of a HIVE custom-built vocabularies search engine, Squiggle. As declares the project webpage (http://squiggle.cefriel.it), Squiggle is a “framework that supports the building of a domain-aware semantic search engine”, and “represents an abstraction for people who want to build a search engine in a particular domain and do not want to deal with low-level indexing and storing processes”.

Thirdly, for the specific task of cataloguing of resources in the repository, indexing with terms taken from the SKOS vocabularies previously stored, some other initiatives have been considered of interest, especially if they contribute with automatic indexing techniques.

Taking into account the repository platform used in Dryad, the DSpace Ontology add-on designed by the DSpaceDev@University of Minho should be considered. It allows the subject indexing of resources browsing and selecting terms from a SKOS vocabulary.

Moreover, the KEA keyphrase extraction algorithm will be useful for the automatic indexing of Dryad repository documents, as it allows the matching of the documents' phrases against the SKOS vocabularies in the system.

But for the case of those terms that don’t match to any vocabulary, the techniques used for SOBOLEO could be a good starting point, as it allows the annotation of resources developing collaborative vocabularies in SKOS at the same time. Moreover, this tool offers browsing, search and editing features for the taxonomies generated.

Similarly, the model developed for the Biomedical Informatics Research Network (BIRN) offers a comprehensive approach with BIRNLex, an integrated ontology+lexicon that support semantically-formal data annotation, semantic data integration, and semantically-driven, federated query resolution.

Finally, as HIVE has to deal with several vocabularies, due to the subject heterogeneity in the evolutionary biology’ Dryad repository, those projects addressing vocabulary alignment issues would be especially relevant.

In particular, those initiatives involving automatic techniques (as HIVE pretends to apply) should be more deeply analyzed:

- The HILT: High-level Thesaurus has developed an M2M terminology mapping server, to enable improved cross-repository searching, using SKOS Core to mark-up terminology responses. The mapping mechanism employed by HILT is similar to switching (use of a single terminology as an intermediary to translate requests from one scheme to another) but differs in that the switching terminology is also central to user disambiguation processes. They use the Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC) as the switching spine for searching, also permitting hierarchical browsing and the discovery of like terms within other terminologies.
• The MultimediaN N9C E-Culture project has developed a prototype for the search and annotation of cultural heritage collections. They have upload RDF SKOS representations of several vocabularies, and the search engine has indexed all literals in the RDF triple store, allowing the quick find of all RDF literals matching the search terms. The prototype use distance metric techniques of the matching literal to enhance the results. (For more information, see the project FAQs: http://e-culture.multimedian.nl/demo/www/faq.html).

• The LORNET, Theme 1 Interoperability of learning object repositories initiative proposes an ontology mapping based framework that allows searching for learning resources using multiple ontologies. SKOS is used here for defining the ontologies used in the project (ACM CCS and an information course specific ontology) and for the mappings of concepts between them. Two search algorithms have been developed for repository searching, that use different strategies to infer matched concepts and rank results. With these algorithms, defining mappings for all concepts in the course ontology are not needed, as they use defined mappings to extrapolate missing mappings using the structure of both ontologies (Gasevic and Hatala, 2006).

Besides, other projects mapping vocabularies with SKOS, but with a likely manual approach, could also be observed:

• The STITCH (SemánTic Interoperability To access Cultural Heritage) Project is investigating semantic interoperability issues, especially in relation to conduct semantic searches across several digital heritage collections. A demonstration web browser has been developed using semantic correspondences (alignment) between description vocabularies. The vocabularies used in the collections have been represented in SKOS, and the relations between concepts have been coded by SKOS mapping features. They have used several strategies of lexical alignment, but apparently, the alignment has been done manually.

• The AIMS project of FAO has developed a semantic search service that makes use of mapped agriculture thesauri. The Agrovoc thesaurus has been converted into SKOS and is being mapped to two other vocabularies: the Chinese Agricultural Thesaurus (CAT) and the National Agricultural Library thesaurus (NAL). This mapping uses links inspired by the SKOS mapping vocabulary.

• In the CrissCross project, which main goal is the creation of a multilingual, user-friendly, thesaurus-based research vocabulary, the subject headings of the Subject Heading Authority Files (SWD) are linked with the notations of the Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC). The strength of correspondence of SWD terms and DDC classes is expressed by a code, the so-called “Degree of Determinacy”, that shall be used for ranking in future retrieval systems. None automatic techniques are mentioned.

• KoMoHe supervised a terminology mapping effort, in which 'cross-concordances' between major controlled vocabularies were organized, created and managed. The mappings were
created by researchers and terminology experts, however, the types of relations and relevance ratings proposed could be useful. This project doesn’t use SKOS for the vocabulary mapping, but they plan on transferring the cross-concordance data to the SKOS format.

Besides the specific techniques used and described in the selected initiatives, we also recommend the consideration of other **ontology mapping techniques**. Interesting research efforts and trends, as the last paper referred in the section 4.2 (Nagy, Vargas-Vera and Motta, 2007), can be found at the proceedings of the International Workshop on Ontology Matching (3rd: [http://om2008.ontologymatching.org](http://om2008.ontologymatching.org), 2nd: [http://www.om2007.ontologymatching.org](http://www.om2007.ontologymatching.org); 1st [http://www.om2006.ontologymatching.org](http://www.om2006.ontologymatching.org)).
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